University of Dayton
Libraries
Promotion and Tenure
Policy

Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee on May 8, 2023 Approved by the University Libraries Faculty on May 17, 2023 [minor revisions added per UPTC]

Table of Contents

14	Introdu	ction	3
15	I. G	eneral Criteria and Eligibility for Promotion and Tenure Evaluations	3
16	II. T	imeline for Application for Promotion and Tenure	6
17	III.	Hiring New Faculty with Advanced Rank and/or Tenure	8
18	IV.	Guidelines for Evaluation for the Purposes of Promotion and/or Tenure	10
19	A.	Areas for Performance Evaluation	10
20	В.	Performance Ratings System	10
21	C.	Performance Criteria	10
22	1	. Librarianship	10
23	2	. Scholarship	11
24		Examples of scholarship	12
25		Qualities of scholarship	13
26	3	. Service	14
27	V. P	re-tenure Considerations and Reviews	16
28	A.	Communication of Position Expectations	16
29	В.	Annual Administrative Review	16
30	C.	Peer Review	17
31	D.	Comprehensive Reviews by the Promotion and Tenure Committee	17
32	VI.	Tenure Only or Concurrent Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor	20
33	A.	Introduction	20
34	B.	Evaluation Period and Credit for Prior Service	20
35	C.	Application of criteria	20
36	D.	Required documentation	21
37	E.	Application Timeline	22
38	F	. Mediation and Appeals	24
39	VII.	Promotion to Professor	25
40	A.	Introduction	25
41	В.	Evaluation Period	25
42	C.	Time in Rank	25
43	D.	Required documentation	25
44	E.	Application of Criteria	25
45	F.	Application Timeline	27
46	G.	Awarding Emeritus/Emerita Status	28

47	VIII.	University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee	30
48	A.	Composition and Responsibilities	30
49	В.	Responsibilities of the Chair	30
50	C.	Conflict of Interest	31
51	D.	Voting process	31
52	E.	Quorum	31
53	IX.	Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure	32
54	X. F	esponsibilities of All Participants in the Promotion and Tenure Review Process	37
55	APPE	NDIX A: University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Procedural Forms	38
56	APPE	NDIX B: Sample Ballot Forms for Promotion and Tenure Committee Decision-making	53
57	APPE	NDIX C: University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Policy Revision History	54
58	APPE	NDIX D: Document Retention in Promotion and Tenure Process	56

Introduction

This document outlines the policies and procedures for the review, promotion, and tenure of tenure-track library faculty, and the review and promotion of tenured library faculty at the University of Dayton. It is based on the policies stated in the Faculty Handbook, "University Promotion and Tenure Policy" (DOC 2021-05), "Evaluating Teaching Faculty for the Purposes of Tenure" (DOC 2008-06), and the generally accepted standards of academic libraries. This document will be reviewed periodically by library faculty to ensure it remains current and compliant with University policies. No policy or procedure presented below supersedes any duly established University policy. For non-promotion reviews of tenured faculty, please refer to the University Libraries Post-Tenure Review Policy.

I. General Criteria and Eligibility for Promotion and Tenure Evaluations

- A. Criteria for promotion and tenure focus on the academic credentials and academic performance of the applicant. The faculty member's performance will be evaluated in the areas of:
 - 1. Librarianship
 - 2. Scholarship and/or artistic accomplishment, and
 - 3. Service, including professional, departmental, University, and community.
 - 4. Given that diversity, equity, and inclusion are fundamental to academic and institutional excellence, the University expects an applicant for promotion and tenure to demonstrate a commitment to inclusive excellence in at least one of the dimensions of evaluation (in librarianship, scholarship and/or artistic accomplishment, and service). Faculty members should clearly articulate in their portfolio materials in which evaluation area (or areas, if more than one) they are demonstrating their commitment to inclusive excellence. A faculty member's contributions to inclusive excellence will be evaluated as an integrated part of

librarianship, scholarship or service (as appropriate), not as a separate fourth criterion.

88 89 90

87

B. Candidates for tenure and promotion must meet the following criteria:

91 92 1. For tenure and promotion to associate professor:

93 94 a. Demonstrate effectiveness in librarianship and efforts to improve librarianship quality, consistent with the expectations detailed in the letter of hire, or if changes to the position are made after the letter of hire, these will be articulated in a new appointment letter, or the annual review letter, and

95 96

97

 b. Provide evidence of the establishment of a record of scholarship and/or artistic accomplishment, and

98 99

c. Provide evidence of a developing practice of service, in multiple internal and external contexts, that advances the operation, mission, or reputation of the University.

100101102

2. For promotion to professor:

103104

 Demonstrate a sustained/consistent record of high-quality librarianship and a commitment to further enhance librarianship quality, and

105106107

b. Provide evidence of an ongoing/consistent record of scholarship and/or artistic accomplishments that demonstrates excellence and is recognized and evaluated positively by the scholarly/artistic community, and

108109110

c. Provide evidence of an ongoing/consistent established record of service and leadership, in multiple internal and external contexts, that advances the operation, mission, or reputation of the University.

113114

111112

115

associate professor. For an assistant professor, awarding of tenure is coupled to promotion to associate professor. Faculty members who have already been granted tenure at the assistant professor level, or have been granted promotion to associate

119 120

121 122

123

124

125

D. Normally the beginning rank for tenure-track library faculty is granted at Assistant Professor. A graduate degree from an ALA accredited program, or its foreign equivalent is required. Appointment at this rank presupposes the ability to perform the basic professional duties of a librarian as well as the potential to conduct

tenure and rank.

126127

128

129

130131

E. A candidate may request to be considered for early promotion and tenure. A candidate who wishes to have an early decision must request an accelerated tenure clock by July 1 of the year prior to the year of the candidate's final comprehensive pre-tenure review, and, once granted, the decision for early consideration is

publishable research and to perform appropriate service activities.

C. Tenure will not be granted to a faculty member whose rank is below the level of

professor without tenure prior to implementation of this policy will retain their

irrevocable. The details of the accelerated tenure clock must be put in writing and agreed to by the candidate, the division director, the dean and the Provost. A candidate can only be considered for tenure once.

134135136

132

133

F. A candidate who successfully completes the promotion or tenure and promotion process will be granted promotion or tenure and promotion with their next contract.

137138139

140141

142

143

144145

G. When applicable, University of Dayton Libraries faculty job candidates may request to be considered for hire at a rank above Assistant Professor. Certain administrative positions, such as a Director, Associate Dean, or Dean, may warrant hiring a candidate with advanced rank and tenure. The President and Provost, based upon the recommendation of the Dean of the University Libraries, who has consulted with the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee, reserve the right to offer a new faculty member advanced rank and/or tenure at the time of hire. Once hired, all applicable policies and procedures apply.

146147148

149

150

151152

153154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165166

H. In the event that promotion and tenure policies are revised by the library faculty, reasonable accommodation will be made for those who began under the former policies. When revisions are made to this Promotion and Tenure Policy, tenure-track faculty who have received three or more contracts can choose to be evaluated under the policy in place during their third contract year, or under the newly-revised policy once it is approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee. Faculty members seeking promotion to Professor and who have been granted the rank of associate professor prior to May 15, 2023 will have the option to be evaluated under the policy that existed at the time they were promoted to Associate Professor, or can elect to be evaluated under the policies that exist at the time they submit their candidacy for promotion to Professor. The candidate must indicate their choice at the time they declare their intention to be considered for promotion. This option will be in effect through May 15, 2026. Following that date, all candidates for professor will be evaluated under the policies that exist at the time they submit their candidacy for promotion to professor. A summary list of substantial revisions with relevant dates is provided in Appendix C. An exception will be made in the event of a change in required terminal degrees: no faculty member who held the appropriate terminal degree at the time of appointment will be in any way disadvantaged by future changes in requirements.

167 II. Timeline for Application for Promotion and Tenure

A. For persons whose contract start dates fall between July 1 and October 31, the timeline for promotion to associate professor and tenure begins July 1 of that same year. For persons whose contract start dates are after October 31, the timeline will begin July 1 of the following year. The candidate's supervisor, in consultation with the Dean of the University Libraries, writes a letter of hire to new faculty that clearly states the expectations for all areas of their work, including librarianship, research, and service. The supervisor also works with the Dean to create a projected review schedule that clearly states the timing of peer reviews and the comprehensive, preliminary and final reviews conducted by the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee. This schedule is included with the letter of hire, and is added to the document maintained by the Dean that lists all of the tenure-line Libraries faculty timelines for review, promotion, and tenure. The new faculty member will acknowledge receipt of these materials by signing and returning a copy of the letter of hire to the Dean.

Any changes in the tenure clock after this letter of hire may require a change in the review cycle. The University Faculty Policy & Governance Handbook includes approved criteria for tenure clock adjustment. Such changes must be agreed to in writing by the faculty member, Division Director, Dean, and Provost.

- B. Tenure-track faculty with no credit for prior service will be considered for tenure no later than their sixth year of active, full-time service. Time devoted to leaves of absence, sabbaticals, or other interruptions in the annual performance of librarianship, research, and service may affect the total period of evaluation and the timing of reviews. The effects of such interruptions on the period of evaluation and timing of reviews must be agreed to in writing by the faculty member, their Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator), the Dean of the University Libraries, and the Provost at the time the interruption takes place or within six months of the initiation of the interruption. In the event the University makes exceptions to this timeline, those exceptions will supersede this policy.
- C. A new faculty member may request to have credit for prior service considered at the time of hire. New faculty may request to have specific pieces of scholarship completed prior to the start of their tenure-track position included in their promotion and tenure portfolios. They may also request to have a certain number of years from their prior role to be credited towards their evaluation period for promotion and tenure. Those years of credit shall include their accomplishments across all areas of evaluation (librarianship, scholarship, and service) completed prior to the start of their current tenure-track position, and evidence of accomplishment should be included in their portfolios. All prior service credit requests are submitted to and approved by the Dean at the time of hire. Approved prior credit (whether years of service or specific pieces of scholarship) is documented in the timeline document for promotion and tenure for all University

- Libraries faculty, which is shared with the Libraries' faculty by the Dean at the start of each academic year.

D. Withdrawal of application: A candidate for promotion may withdraw a promotion application (part of the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure) at any point in the process, unless the application is also an application for tenure. The candidate must submit a letter of withdrawal to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, who will notify the Committee, the Division Director, and the Dean that the application has been withdrawn. When a promotion application is withdrawn, letters of evaluation and recommendation collected for the current application will be destroyed by the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, including the letters from the Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator), the Committee, and the Dean. The Portfolio will be returned to the candidate after these letters have been removed from the Portfolio.

225 III. Hiring New Faculty with Advanced Rank and/or Tenure

A. When applicable, University of Dayton Libraries faculty job candidates may request to be considered for hire at a rank above Assistant Professor. Such requests take place between the job candidate and the Dean. Certain administrative positions, such as a Director, Associate Dean, or Dean, may warrant hiring a candidate with advanced rank and tenure. Individuals who have pursued administrative career tracks are likely to have reduced levels of scholarship productivity while holding administrative positions, and should be evaluated in light of those positions. Any candidate being offered advanced rank and/or tenure upon joining the faculty is required to fulfill the appropriate criteria stated in this document, with allowances made for declines in research productivity rates while holding administrative appointments. Candidates may not request to be hired with tenure at the rank of Assistant Professor.

Candidates being considered for hire at an advanced rank with tenure will undergo an expedited review with the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee as part of their interview process. Candidates invited to interview will be provided a copy of the Libraries Promotion and Tenure Policy by the chair of the search committee. Candidates will be expected to submit specific materials as part of their job application to support their request for hire at an advanced rank with tenure. Job postings will normally indicate whether or not that specific position is eligible for hiring at an advanced rank with tenure. Job postings for positions that will be hired with advanced rank and tenure will indicate candidates will be asked to submit letters of recommendation regarding librarianship only if invited for campus interviews. As stated previously, the President and Provost, based upon the recommendation of the Dean of the University Libraries, who has consulted with the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee, reserve the right to offer a new faculty member advanced rank and/or tenure at the time of hire.

B. Candidates invited for a campus interview who are not being considered for hire with tenure will have an informational meeting with the Promotion and Tenure Committee as part of their campus visit. The purpose of this meeting is for members of the Committee to share information about the promotion and tenure process in the University Libraries, and to answer questions candidates may have related to this process. At minimum, the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee and two other current Committee members should be present for this meeting.

C. Candidates being considered for hire with tenure, if selected for a campus interview, will undergo an expedited review process which includes an interview with the Promotion and Tenure Committee as part of the campus visit. A quorum of the Committee must be present at the meeting in order for the recommendations of the Committee to be valid. The Committee will be provided copies of each candidate's application materials by the search committee chair prior to their

meetings with the candidate. After the Committee meets with the candidate, they will evaluate the candidate using the guidelines defined in section IV. The Chair, on behalf of the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee, will provide a written letter to the Dean of the University Libraries for the candidate, stating the Committee's recommendations, including the final vote count and rationale for those recommendations. The letter will be provided to the Dean within five business days after the last candidate's interview. The letter must be signed by all members of the Committee who met with the candidate. Signatures do not indicate individual agreement with the final recommendation of the overall Committee as stated in the letter. The Dean will forward the Committee's recommendation letter to the Provost as part of the hiring process.

- 1. Job postings that warrant hiring with tenure will require candidates to submit, as part of their initial job application, the following:
 - a. A cover letter, no more than five pages, that fully addresses how they meet the qualifications for the position (including their ability to meet the criteria for advanced rank and tenure in each area of evaluation: librarianship, scholarship, and service).
 - b. A statement (not to exceed one page) addressing past and potential contributions to inclusive excellence in at least one of the following areas: librarianship, scholarship, or service.
 - c. A full curriculum vitae that lists a full record of scholarship and service activities.
- 2. If invited for a campus interview, candidates will be asked to submit two letters of support attesting to the quality of librarianship of the candidate, including the candidate's overall suitability for advanced rank and tenure. Letters should be received by the search committee chair no later than five business days from the date of the last candidate's interview. The chair will share copies of these letters with the Promotion and Tenure Committee upon receipt. Letters can be from a supervisor, peer, direct report, or project collaborator and should address librarianship as defined in this policy. Letters may come from the same list of employment references a candidate would supply as part of their application materials, or they may come from other individuals who can attest to the candidate's librarianship. The committee suggests that candidates share section IV of this policy with the letter writers so they can write informed letters of support.

303 IV. Guidelines for Evaluation for the Purposes of Promotion and/or Tenure

A. Areas for Performance Evaluation

Faculty members are evaluated based on evidence of accomplishment in three areas: Librarianship, Scholarship, and Service. The university recognizes that faculty may engage in a wide range of activities that extend beyond traditional efforts. Activities in librarianship, scholarship, and/or service that include community engagement, incorporate multidisciplinarity, foster innovation, venture creation, and/or other defined academic or professional activities consistent with the positional role and responsibilities of the faculty member, and that further the mission and reputation of the University, are encouraged and will be evaluated as part of the faculty member's portfolio. Given that diversity, equity, and inclusion are fundamental to academic and institutional excellence, the University expects an applicant for promotion and tenure to demonstrate a commitment to inclusive excellence in at least one of the dimensions of evaluation (in librarianship, scholarship and/or artistic accomplishment, and service). The candidate should explicitly state in their letter of application which area(s) they would like to be evaluated for their commitment to inclusive excellence. The Promotion and Tenure Committee will give special consideration in making promotion and/or tenure decisions about an Associate Dean or Division Director, in view of the amount of time and energy that such an administrative appointment requires and how the position's responsibilities affect the candidate's scholarly pursuits.

B. Performance Ratings System

The Committee will provide ratings for each of the three areas outlined. The ratings scale to be used is defined as:

328 **Unsatisfactory**—fails to meet the performance expectations of an area of responsibility

304305

306

307

308309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317318

319

320

321

322

323324

325326

327

330

331

332

333

334335

336337

338

339

340341

342

responsibility **Satisfactory**—meets the performance expectations within an area of responsibility **Significant**—exceeds the performance expectations within an area of responsibility

C. Performance Criteria

1. Librarianship

This area includes effective performance of normal duties in library activities such as acquisitions, administration, electronic resources, information technology, cataloging, library instruction, collection development, reference, serials, special collections, and supervision. Effective performance in this area is also demonstrated through and facilitated by the librarian's support of the University's objectives of community and dignity of the individual by contributing to an open, respectful, professional, and cooperative relationship with those with whom they interact. However, this should not be interpreted in such a way as to inhibit faculty members from expressing respectful

disagreement with colleagues. A truly collegial faculty welcomes dissent, in the spirit of academic freedom, as a normal component of professional engagement.

The administration and implementation of grants and contracts from external funding agencies, and institutionally awarded grants are also considered under librarianship. The Promotion and Tenure Committee may evaluate any scholarship that derives from funded grants.

Faculty should actively pursue current developments in relevant fields through formal and informal continuing education and professional development. They also should contribute to the improvement of existing library services and the development of new services.

As stated previously, faculty may choose to demonstrate a commitment to inclusive excellence as part of their librarianship. The candidate should explicitly state in their letter of application which area(s) they would like to be evaluated for their commitment to inclusive excellence. A wide range of activities can provide evidence of inclusive excellence, and it is the faculty member's responsibility for articulating how such activities connect to diversity, equity, and inclusion. While not an exhaustive list, some examples of such work may include:

• Inclusive cataloging and descriptive practices

Inclusive pedagogy in library instruction

 Developing programs and exhibits that center the experiences of underrepresented, marginalized, and historically excluded groups

 Collection development that increases access to diverse creators and works, including advocacy for more inclusive collections at the consortial level

Developing methods for testing accessibility for digital resources

Designing workflows, processes, etc. that account for the diverse abilities of library users and/or colleagues

Librarians will possess the appropriate terminal degree, as defined in section I.D. Evaluation in this area will be based on the candidate's statements and supporting materials in their application, the results of previous peer review evaluations, the performance evaluations received at previous annual reviews, and the observations of the Promotion and Tenure Committee.

2. Scholarship

All tenured and tenure-track library faculty members are expected to engage in scholarship. The Libraries' reassigned time guidelines allow faculty to accomplish a broad range of scholarly activities. Candidates may choose to pursue research done in library and information studies or in the subject

specialties of individual library faculty members, including artistic and creative work. If a significant portion of a candidate's scholarly work falls outside the expertise of the Promotion and Tenure Committee members, the Committee may seek recommendations from one or more external evaluators holding such expertise. The Committee should choose any external evaluators in consultation with the candidate.

Aligning with the candidate's letter of application in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, the candidate's scholarship should show development of a research agenda, creative progress, or other progress within their time. Although scholarly or artistic/creative work does not have to conform to a single linear narrative, progress during the tenure-leading period should be evident. It is the candidate's responsibility to advocate for the scholarly or artistic/creative works they believe showcase accomplishments in their scholarly output, conversant with current works and trends in their area(s) of study.

Examples of scholarship

 For the purposes of evaluation for promotion and tenure, scholarly and creative work activities can be single or co-authored, print or electronic. Although the below list is not comprehensive, they may fall under one of the following examples:

 Journal articles

• Conference presentations, panel discussions, roundtables, posters, and other conference products, given in person or virtually

 Books and book chapters

 Editor or co-editor of a book, scholarly journal, or conference proceedings

 Editorial board service for a scholarly journal or editor of professional association publications

Grants awarded by external agencies, grants awarded with institutional funds

 the preparation of grants falls under scholarship regardless of funding, however, unfunded grants will be considered as having less impact as funded grants

• Invited or keynote speaking

 Reference works such as annotated bibliographies, indexes, data sets, or directories

Performances, exhibits, exhibit catalogs, translations and other creative works

Online lesson plans

Encyclopedia entries

Book reviews

426	Guest columns
427	 University Libraries or Marian Library blog posts
428	 Computer software, databases, websites, or web applications
429	 Original cataloging and published metadata
430	Note: Depending upon their depth, book reviews can be counted as either
431	scholarship or service; blog posts and online lesson plans can be counted as
432	either scholarship or librarianship.
433	Qualities of scholarship
434	Peer-review remains a strong indicator of credible, rigorous academic work
435	throughout academia, but it exists within the larger context of the
436	candidate's portfolio. At minimum, candidates are expected to have at
437	least one publication that has undergone rigorous peer review. The
438	committee recognizes and encourages scholarship that develops through
439	multiple writing and presenting venues. The candidate may consider and
440	advocate for scholarly or creative work with the following non-exhaustive
441	criteria:
442	 Evidence of other stringent review
443	 Competitive selection process, either known (i.e. acceptance
444	rate) or understood (acceptance by a major national
445	professional conference such as ACRL, ALA)
446	 Evidence of a work as a major research or creative effort
447	 Expands on the candidate's previous products, such as posters,
448	conference presentations, blog posts, or other works
449	 Partnership with an institutional or external organization
450	 Selection for fellowship, sabbatical, or similar recognition of
451	the extent of time and depth of knowledge involved
452	 Evidence of readership/audience engagement and/or candidate's
453	expertise on a topic
454	Citation in other scholarly works
455	 Audience engagement with the work(s) or central concepts
456	within professional organizations, social media, and other
457	discussion formats, both in person and virtual
458	 News coverage of the work
459	 Invited contributions to books, conferences, etc., explicitly
460	based on the candidate's work or original concept
461	 Evidence of incorporation into library and information science (LIS)
462	or other field practice
463	 Adoption of work into instructional, technical, managerial, etc
464	policies
465	•
466	As stated previously, faculty may choose to demonstrate a commitment to
467	inclusive excellence as part of their scholarship. The candidate should

468 explicitly state in their letter of application which area(s) they would like to 469 be evaluated for their commitment to inclusive excellence. A wide range of works can provide evidence of inclusive excellence, and it is the faculty 470 member's responsibility for articulating how their scholarship connect to 471 diversity, equity, and inclusion. No candidate should be assumed nor 472 expected to limit their research to topics that reflect their own social 473 identities (e.g. a Black librarian should not be expected to carry out research 474 on Blackness in LIS; a queer librarian should not be discounted if some 475 scholarly works tackle LGBTQ+ subjects and others do not). While not an 476 exhaustive list, some examples may include: 477 Equitable treatment and inclusion of underrepresented populations 478 479 in quantitative or qualitative research Digital scholarship that critically engages inequities in representation 480 481 of diverse communities in archives, records, or dominant historical 482 narratives 483 Awareness and accounting for whiteness, colonialism, ableism, and 484 other dominant systems

3. **Service**

485

486

487

488

489 490

491 492

493 494

495

496 497

498 499

500

501

502

503

504505506

507508

This category includes service to the Libraries and to the University, to the profession, and to the community. Faculty members are expected to actively engage in service activities. No candidate should be assumed nor expected to limit their service to activities that reflect their own social identities, particularly in light of the fact that Black, Indigenous, people of color, women, members of the LGBTQ+ community, and other marginalized identities historically have experienced additional service expectations connected to their identities in comparison to their colleagues. Service includes, but is not limited to:

- Membership on library and University committees
- Active membership in state, regional, and national professional associations (e.g., holding office, serving on committees, participating in program and discussion groups)
- Service as an editor or peer reviewer of papers for publication
- Presentations for which the faculty member acted as an organizer, moderator, or peer reviewer at professional meetings, conventions, symposiums, and conferences
- Service with community organizations
- Academic teaching and professional consulting

As stated previously, faculty may choose to demonstrate a commitment to inclusive excellence as part of their service. The candidate should explicitly state in their letter of application which area(s) they would like to be

509	evaluated for their commitment to inclusive excellence. A wide range of
510	activities can provide evidence of inclusive excellence, and the faculty
511	member is responsible for articulating how their service connects to
512	diversity, equity, and inclusion. While not an exhaustive list, some examp
513	may include:
514	 Chairing or serving on committees, task forces, working groups, e
515	focused on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion
516	 Mentoring underrepresented, marginalized, and historically exclusion
517	students (undergraduate, graduate, or professional students)
518	 Serving as an advisor to a student organization that focuses on
519	underrepresented, marginalized, or historically excluded student
520	(e.g., Asian American Association, Black Student Union, El Orgullo

522

onal students) that focuses on v excluded students (e.g., Asian American Association, Black Student Union, El Orgullo Latino, Spectrum, etc.)

523 V. Pre-tenure Considerations and Reviews

A. Communication of Position Expectations

The appropriate Division Director (or other primary supervisor/evaluator) is responsible for clearly communicating to potential new faculty members the expectations for all areas of their work, including librarianship, scholarship, and service at the time a verbal offer is made. Once a verbal offer is accepted, these expectations will be stated in the letter of hire that is written by the Division Director (or other primary supervisor/evaluator) and approved by the Dean and the Provost. As stated previously, the letter of hire will clearly state the review schedule for the new faculty member, including peer reviews and the comprehensive reviews conducted by the Promotion and Tenure Committee.

The tenure and promotion process begins with the offering of a position to a new faculty member. At that time, the Provost sends a contract to the new faculty member containing the general description of responsibilities and specifying the time required in the probationary period prior to tenure. For most new faculty members, the final tenure recommendation will occur in the sixth year. A faculty member approved for tenure in the sixth year would receive a contract for a tenured faculty position for the seventh year. For a faculty member granted an advanced rank or shortened probationary period at the time of hire, the contract will indicate their special circumstances.

B. Annual Administrative Review

During the probationary period, all faculty members will receive an annual review by their supervisor, normally a Division Director. Division Directors are reviewed annually by the Dean of the University Libraries. Additionally, tenure-track Division Directors will be evaluated annually by their direct reports, the members of the Dean's Council, and other parties as appropriate as part of this review process. Those evaluations and any written response from the faculty member will be sent to the Dean and factored into the Dean's written review. The annual review should indicate how the candidate's performance relates to the University Libraries standards for librarianship, scholarship, and service and evaluate the faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion. The review should also offer developmental suggestions for faculty. As part of this process, the faculty member will also submit a copy of their University Libraries Annual Report detailing their development and accomplishments.

Candidates are responsible for including copies of these annual reviews in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure before it is submitted to the Promotion and Tenure Committee for the comprehensive reviews, including the final review for promotion and tenure.

C. Peer Review

Peer review of librarianship for tenure-track library faculty is required by the Faculty Handbook at least once before the tenure decision is made. However, library faculty members hired without prior service credit are required to undergo two peer reviews during their probationary period, which normally take place in the second and fourth years of employment. Peer reviews are not cumulative; the second peer review covers only the period since the first peer review. This timing will make the report from the peer reviewers available to the Promotion and Tenure Committee during the comprehensive reviews normally conducted during the third and fifth years of employment.

The review will be written from a collegial perspective by those who may have a better or different understanding than the supervisor of the work of the librarian under review. The review will rely on a self-evaluation and a discussion between the committee and the librarian. The results of the peer review must be consulted during the next comprehensive review of the librarian and should be taken into serious consideration at that time. Please see the University Libraries Peer Review Policy for a full description of the peer review process.

D. Comprehensive Reviews by the Promotion and Tenure Committee

tenure track faculty members. These reviews will indicate how the candidate's performance relates to the University Libraries' standards for librarianship, scholarship, and service and evaluate the faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion. The Promotion and Tenure Committee's reviews should also offer, as appropriate and necessary, developmental suggestions for faculty. The frequency of these reviews is based on the length of the probationary period. Copies of these reviews are sent to the candidate and the appropriate supervisor (normally the candidate's Division Director) by the Chair of the Committee. The candidate will ensure that copies of the Promotion and Tenure Committee's reviews are included in the Portfolio for Tenure and Promotion before it is given to the Dean for review.

The Promotion and Tenure Committee conducts comprehensive reviews of all

1. For faculty members who had no credit for prior experience at the time of hire, the required comprehensive reviews will take place in the third and fifth year, with a final review in the sixth year.

2. Faculty members who had two or fewer years of credit for prior service will receive a minimum of two comprehensive reviews of their librarianship, scholarship and service by the Committee prior to their final review. The first of these reviews will take place in a year specified by the Dean in the letter of hire. The second, or last, comprehensive review will take place in the year prior to the final tenure and promotion review and recommendation.

3. Faculty who agree to a shortened pre-tenure period of three years or less will receive at least one comprehensive review. The timing of the review should be specified in the letter of hire from the Dean. If there is only one review, it will take place in the year before the final tenure review and recommendation. If there is more than one review, the last review will take place in the year before the final tenure and promotion review.

4. Required documentation

All candidates for review should submit a Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, which includes a letter of application, current curriculum vitae, copies of all previous evaluations, and supporting materials as appropriate. The review materials will be cumulative. The letter of application, written by the candidate and addressed to the Promotion and Tenure Committee, should not exceed five pages, and should note the candidate's contributions to the Libraries, to the University, and to the profession. It should clearly indicate the candidate's accomplishments, current activities, and plans for the future in each of the three areas of performance defined in IV.A. The letter should also indicate how the candidate has addressed any developmental concerns indicated by the Promotion and Tenure Committee from previous reviews, and evidence of such improvement should be included in the supporting materials. See section IX for detailed information regarding the format and content of the Portfolio.

5. Timeline for comprehensive pre-tenure review process

To ensure all steps are being followed in the review process, the candidate
for review is responsible for confirming each step by obtaining the necessary
signatures as outlined in the procedural form (Appendix A). Once the
candidate has completed the form and obtained all necessary signatures,
they will submit the signed original to the Dean of the University Libraries
for inclusion in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, and keep a copy for
their own file. When any of these dates fall on a weekend or other nonbusiness day such as a holiday, the actual deadline will be the first business
day after the specified date.

Action	When
Dean of the University Libraries notifies eligible tenure- leading faculty in writing that documentation for either their first or second comprehensive pre-tenure review is due no later than September 1.	By March 1
Candidates eligible for review submit the required documentation to the Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committee Chair.	By September 1

P&T Committee reviews all materials for comprehensive pretenure reviews. The Committee will provide written feedback to each candidate in the form of a letter. This feedback will include a statement regarding progress toward tenure and comments of a developmental nature, in line with the criteria for tenure, indicating areas of concern and suggestions for improvement. The Committee Chair will send one copy of each candidate's letter to their Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator), and will send a second copy of each letter along with each candidate's review materials to the Dean.	By November 1
The Dean provides written feedback in the form of a letter to the candidate under review.	By December 1

641 VI. Tenure Only or Concurrent Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor

A. Introduction

Tenure represents a significant contractual commitment by the University to the faculty member, as described in the Faculty Handbook, and the University Promotion and Tenure Policy. Therefore, rigorous evaluation at all levels is essential. Tenure evaluation is based on both the individual's performance and on the degree to which the individual's abilities meet the University Libraries' needs. The criteria on which the candidate will be judged are those described above in section IV. In some cases, changes in the mission of the Libraries or in the financial condition of the University may prevent the awarding of tenure to an otherwise deserving candidate.

B. Evaluation Period and Credit for Prior Service

Emphasis will be placed on the candidate's service at the University of Dayton. However, candidates who have been hired with a shortened tenure clock may include accomplishments from their career prior to their tenure-track service at the University of Dayton Libraries. This may include service at another institution or at the University of Dayton in a different role. The evaluation period for such candidates will have been determined with the letter of hire. As stated previously, all prior service (including credit for past scholarship without a shortened clock) will be documented in the all-faculty promotion and tenure timeline document shared by the Dean at the beginning of each academic year. The Promotion and Tenure Committee chair will ensure committee members are aware of any prior service credit as part of a candidate's review. As part of their portfolios for tenure and/or promotion, all candidates are expected to submit evidence of their accomplishments in each area of evaluation, including accomplishments in prior roles or institutions.

C. Application of criteria

Tenure will not be granted to a faculty member whose rank is below the level of Associate Professor. Normally, tenure will be considered at the same time as promotion in rank to Associate Professor. The award of tenure, or concurrent promotion to Associate Professor and tenure, requires one of the following combinations:

Significant achievement in librarianship Satisfactory achievement in scholarship Satisfactory achievement in service

Significant achievement in scholarship Satisfactory achievement in librarianship Satisfactory achievement in service

Candidates for tenure and promotion to associate professor must also:

- 1. Demonstrate effectiveness in librarianship and efforts to improve librarianship quality, and consistent with the expectations detailed in the letter of hire, or if changes to the position are made after the letter of hire, these will be articulated in a new appointment letter, or the annual review letter.
- 2. Provide evidence of the establishment of a record of scholarship and/or artistic accomplishment, and
- 3. Provide evidence of a developing practice of service, in multiple internal and external contexts, that advances the operation, mission, or reputation of the University.

D. Required documentation

All candidates for tenure should submit a Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, which includes a letter of application, current curriculum vitae, copies of all previous evaluations, formal letters of support written by other colleagues, and supporting materials as appropriate. The review materials will be cumulative. The letter of application, written by the candidate and addressed to the Promotion and Tenure Committee, should not exceed five pages, and should note the candidate's contributions to the Libraries, to the University, and to the profession. It should clearly indicate the candidate's accomplishments, current activities, and plans for the future in each of the three areas of performance defined in IV.A. The letter should also indicate how the candidate has addressed any developmental concerns indicated by the Promotion and Tenure Committee from previous reviews, and evidence of such improvement should be included in the supporting materials. The formal letters of support, written by informed colleagues, should attest to the quality of librarianship, scholarship, and/or service accomplishments of the candidate, including the candidate's overall suitability for tenure and/or promotion. See section IX for detailed information regarding the format and content of the Portfolio.

The candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) is also responsible for submitting a letter to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, stating their overall evaluation of the candidate and recommendations for promotion and/or tenure. The Division Director (or other primary evaluator) will provide a copy of this letter to the candidate at the same time. The Chair is responsible for inserting the original letter into the candidate's Portfolio. If the candidate up for review is a Division Director, they will forego a letter of evaluation from their primary evaluator if that person is the Dean.

During the final tenure review process, materials of a substantive nature which update the submitted Portfolio (e.g., acceptance or publication of a manuscript) can be added to the Portfolio by the candidate at any point in the review process until the Provost's recommendation is made. Additions to the Portfolio should be submitted to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee. The Chair will

notify the Promotion and Tenure Committee and Dean of the updated material and insert the information into the Portfolio or send it to the Dean to insert into the Portfolio. Appropriate consultation will take place if materials are added that will affect the recommendation.

E. Application Timeline

To ensure all steps are being followed in the review process, the candidate for tenure and all appropriate parties must confirm completion of each step by signing the procedural form as outlined (Appendix A). Depending upon the step in the process, responsibility for the form (including obtaining necessary signatures for that step) will reside with either the Dean or the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee. When the form is not in active use, it shall remain in the candidate's Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure. Once the form has been completed and all necessary signatures have been obtained, the signed original will be placed in the Portfolio for submission to the Provost.

When any of these dates falls on a weekend or other non-business day such as a holiday, the actual deadline will be the first business day after the specified date.

Action	When
The Dean notifies any faculty eligible for tenure or tenure and promotion to associate professor that their applications are due by September 1. The Dean will also notify the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) that their evaluation and recommendation letter is due by October 1.	By March 1
Faculty eligible for tenure and/or promotion review will submit the required documentation to the Promotion and Tenure Committee Chair.	By September 1
The candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) will also submit their evaluation and recommendation letter to the Chair and forward a copy of this letter to the candidate. If the candidate up for review is a Division Director, they will forego a letter of evaluation from their primary evaluator if that person is the Dean.	By September 1
The Promotion and Tenure Committee Chair will submit a written letter to the Dean, stating the Committee's recommendation on the tenure application. The Chair will forward the recommendation along with the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure to the Dean. The Chair will forward copies of the recommendation to the candidate and the	By November 15

candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) at the same time.	
The candidate may respond in writing to the Committee's recommendation by submitting a letter to the Dean, who will include the letter in the candidate's Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure. If the candidate chooses not to submit a response, they should so inform the Dean in writing no later than November 21.	By November 21
If the Dean disagrees or has any questions about the recommendation, the Dean will discuss with the Promotion and Tenure Committee.	By December 1 (Optional)
The Dean will inform the candidate, in writing, of their recommendation and the reasons for it. After the candidate has been notified, a copy of the Dean's recommendation will be forwarded to the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) as well as the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, who will make the recommendation available to Committee members.	By December 14
Candidates or concerned individuals (e.g., Division Directors, Promotion and Tenure Committee members) who wish to submit a written response to the Dean have until the first business day following December 21 to do so. Concerned individuals will need to sign the procedural form when they submit the letter. If the candidate chooses not to submit a response, they should so inform the Dean in writing no later than December 21.	By December 21
The Dean will consider any additional evidence and responses and send a recommendation in writing to the Provost, along with the completed Procedural Form, Portfolio, and the response(s) of any candidate or concerned individuals. After ensuring the candidate has received notification, the Dean will inform the P&T Committee of the recommendation.	By January 1

Candidates or any other concerned individuals (e.g. Division Directors, P&T Committee members) may file a written response to the Dean's recommendation directly with the Provost.	By January 15
The Provost will review all materials and make recommendations to the President. Each candidate will be informed in writing of the Provost's recommendation.	By January 30
Candidates or any other concerned individuals (e.g. Division Directors, P&T Committee members) may submit a written response to the Provost.	By February 15

Each candidate will be informed in writing of the President's decision. This decision will be copied to the Provost, the Dean, and the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator).

748 F. Mediation and Appeals

If the candidate chooses to appeal the President's decision, they may begin the process in accord with the Faculty Handbook, Section IV.E. If mediation does not resolve the complaint, the candidate may make use of the appeal processes set out in the Faculty Handbook (Sections IV.C.1, IV.E, and XIII.E). The Board of Trustees will serve as the court of last resort in the appeals process.

754VII. Promotion to Professor

A. Introduction

Promotion recognizes an individual's accomplishments in the areas of librarianship, scholarly activities, and service. The criteria on which the candidate will be evaluated are those described above in section IV. Rigorous evaluation at all levels is essential and is based on the individual's performance, the degree to which the individual's abilities meet library needs, and the contribution the individual has made to the university and to the profession.

B. Evaluation Period

The primary evaluation period is the time since the candidate's last review for purposes of promotion and/or tenure. However, the candidate's cumulative achievement over their career should be considered.

C. Time in Rank

Although time in rank is not a primary consideration for promotion, a faculty member will normally serve five or more years at a given rank before promotion.

D. Required documentation

Candidates for promotion should submit a Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, which includes a letter of application, current curriculum vitae, copies of all evaluations received since the last comprehensive review, formal letters of support written by other colleagues, and supporting materials as appropriate. The review materials will be cumulative. The letter of application, written by the candidate and addressed to the Promotion and Tenure Committee, should not exceed five pages, and should note the candidate's contributions to the Libraries, to the University, and to the profession. It should clearly indicate the candidate's accomplishments, current activities, and plans for the future in each of the three areas of performance. The formal letters of support, written by informed colleagues, should attest to the quality of librarianship, scholarship, and/or service accomplishments of the candidate, including the candidate's overall suitability for promotion. Candidates seeking promotion from Associate Professor to Professor must include external letters of support, written by informed colleagues from other institutions. See section IX for detailed information regarding the format and content of the Portfolio.

The candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) is also responsible for submitting a letter to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, stating their overall evaluation of the candidate and recommendations for promotion. The Division Director (or other primary evaluator) will provide a copy of this letter to the candidate at the same time. The Chair is responsible for inserting the original letter into the candidate's Portfolio. If the candidate up for review is a Division Director, they will forego a letter of evaluation from their primary evaluator if that person is the Dean.

E. Application of Criteria

The criteria used to evaluate faculty performance are described above in section III. The evaluation should not be limited merely to counting activities and

797	accomplishments, but should involve evaluating the candidate's activities according
798	to their depth and degree. A candidate who successfully completes the promotion
799	process will be granted promotion with their next contract.
800	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
801	Candidates for promotion to Professor must:
802	1. Demonstrate a sustained/consistent record of high-quality librarianship and
803	a commitment to further enhance librarianship quality, and
804	2. Provide evidence of an ongoing/consistent record of scholarship and/or
805	artistic accomplishments that demonstrates excellence and is recognized and
806	evaluated positively by the scholarly/artistic community, and
807	3. Provide evidence of an ongoing/consistent established record of service and
808	leadership, in multiple internal and external contexts, that advances the
809	mission of the University.
810	
811	Promotion to Professor requires the following:
812	
813	Significant achievement in librarianship
814	Significant achievement in scholarship
815	Satisfactory achievement in service
816	
817	Promotion to Professor requires significant achievement in librarianship and
818	scholarship, and satisfactory achievement in service. There can be a broad range
819	of evidence for demonstrating the accomplishments and impact expected of a
820	Professor. The application of these criteria should be flexible and recognize that
821	individual faculty members can achieve excellence and recognition in many
822	different ways.
823	
824	In addition, elevation to the rank of Professor requires evidence of sustained,
825	distinguished performance at the university, state, regional, or national levels.
826	Evidence of this performance shall be documented in the letter of application for
827	promotion and the required external letters of support. These letters should
828	address the candidate's distinguished performance and achievements in one or
829	more of the aforementioned categories.
830	
831	Examples of such evidence of distinguished performance may include (but are
832	not limited to):
833	
834	Substantial publications in journals of national or international standing
835	
836	Reviews or citation studies indicating the impact and importance of the
837	candidate's work
838	
839	Campus leadership roles, significant committee appointments, or other
840	leadership roles in major professional or scholarly associations.

F. Application Timeline

To ensure all steps are being followed in the review process, the candidate for promotion and all appropriate parties must confirm completion of each step by signing the procedural form as outlined (Appendix A). Depending upon the step in the process, responsibility for the form (including obtaining necessary signatures for that step) will reside with either the Dean or the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee. When the form is not in active use, it shall remain in the candidate's Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure. Once the form has been completed and all necessary signatures have been obtained, the signed original will be placed in the Portfolio for submission to the Provost.

When any of the dates fall on a weekend or other non-business day such as a holiday, the actual deadline will be the first business day after the specified date. Candidates who are concurrently applying for promotion and tenure should refer to the application timeline for tenure, as stated in section VI.E. The timeline stated below applies to candidates only applying for promotion.

Action	When
Faculty member eligible for promotion submits the required documentation to the Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committee Chair.	By September 1
The candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) will also submit their evaluation and recommendation letter to the Chair and forward a copy of this letter to the candidate. It is the responsibility of the candidate to alert their primary evaluator of the need for a letter. If the candidate up for review is a Division Director, they will forego a letter of evaluation from their primary evaluator if that person is the Dean.	By September 1
P&T Committee Chair submits a letter to the Dean, stating the Committee's recommendation on the promotion application. The Chair will forward the candidate's Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure to the Dean along with the recommendation letter. A copy of the recommendation will be forwarded to the candidate at the same time.	By November 15
If the Dean disagrees or has any questions about the recommendation, the Dean will discuss with the Promotion and Tenure Committee.	By December 1 (Optional)
The Dean will inform the candidate, in writing, of their recommendation and the reasons for it. After the candidate has been notified, a copy of the Dean's recommendation	By December 14

will be forwarded to the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator) as well as the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, who will make the recommendation available to Committee members.	
Candidates or concerned individuals (e.g., Division Directors, Promotion and Tenure Committee members) who wish to submit a written response to the Dean have until the first business day following December 21 to do so. Concerned individuals will need to sign the procedural form when they submit the letter. If the candidate chooses not to submit a response, they should so inform the Dean in writing no later than December 21.	By December 21
The Dean will consider any additional evidence and responses and send a recommendation in writing to the Provost, along with the completed Procedural Form, Portfolio, and the response(s) of any candidate or concerned individuals. After ensuring the candidate has received notification, the Dean will inform the P&T Committee of the recommendation.	By January 1
Candidates or any other concerned individuals (e.g. Division Directors, P&T Committee members) may file a written response to the Dean's recommendation directly with the Provost.	By January 15
The Provost will review all materials and make recommendations to the President. Each candidate will be informed in writing of the Provost's recommendation.	By January 30
Candidates or any other concerned individuals (e.g. Division Directors, P&T Committee members) may submit a written response to the Provost.	By February 15

Each candidate will be informed in writing of the President's decision. This decision will be copied to the Provost, the Dean, and the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator).

G. Awarding Emeritus/Emerita Status

When a librarian announces their retirement, the retiring librarian's supervisor or a colleague can nominate the retiree for promotion to emeritus status. The nomination letter will be sent to the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee and will briefly list the highlights of the librarian's career. This letter should be written within one month of the announcement of the librarian's intent to retire. It is preferred that this process take place in the Fall semester, in accordance with other promotion and tenure activities. The University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee will then meet to consider recommendation of

871	Emeritus/Emerita status for the retiree. This recommendation will be forwarded to
872	the Dean of University Libraries
873	
874	Criteria for Emeritus/Emerita status
875	Criteria for Emeritus/Emerita status include the following: substantial contribution
876	to the University Libraries and employment at the University Libraries for at least
877	10 years. These criteria mirror the standards outlined in the Faculty Handbook:
878	
879	The title Emeritus/Emerita may be conferred on a person who has retired
880	from fulltime teaching or administration. The title is granted in recognition
881	of long or exceptional service, as shown through the person's teaching or
882	administrative ability, and dedication to the University and to the teaching
883	profession. ¹

 $^{^{1}}$ University of Dayton Faculty Governance Handbook Section IV.8.F Professor Emeritus/Emerita [https://udayton.edu/provost]

884/III. University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Committee

A. Composition and Responsibilities

The Committee is responsible for reviewing applications for promotion and/or tenure, including comprehensive pre-tenure reviews, and making recommendations to the Dean of the University Libraries regarding such applications. The Committee consists of all tenured librarians within the University Libraries, excluding those on leave or with a conflict of interest as defined below. An exception can be made for faculty on sabbatical leave, who are eligible and wish to serve on the Committee. Faculty on sabbatical who intend to participate will notify the chair by October 1. The Dean of the University Libraries is not eligible to serve on the Committee. At the beginning of each academic year, the Chair of the Committee from the previous academic year will call a meeting to elect a new Chair. This meeting must take place no later than September 15. All committee members are expected to have completed required University-sponsored diversity training for Promotion and Tenure reviews prior to serving on the Promotion and Tenure Committee.

B. Responsibilities of the Chair

The Chair is responsible for calling all meetings of the Committee and ensuring the proper procedures for all review processes are being followed, including shared responsibility with the Dean for the completion of the procedural form (Appendix A). The Chair must notify all members of the Committee when a promotion and/or tenure application has been received and is ready for the Committee to review, including applications for pre-tenure comprehensive review.

The Chair, on behalf of the Committee, is also responsible for writing and submitting a letter to the Dean stating the Committee's recommendations for each application, including the final vote count and rationale for those recommendations. The Chair is responsible for ensuring all Committee members sign this letter before submitting it to the Dean. Committee members' signatures are obtained to ensure proper procedures are being followed, including confirmation that each Committee member has read the letter before it is submitted to the Dean. Signatures do not indicate individual agreement with the final recommendation of the overall Committee as stated in the letter. If the Committee's decision is not unanimous, the letter should state the majority opinion as well as accurately and objectively reflect the minority opinion. These letters should contain an objective account of the Committee's deliberations. In the instance of a split vote, Committee members who share the minority opinion have the option to submit an additional minority opinion letter to the Dean, which does not need to be signed by each member of the Committee. The Chair is responsible for forwarding each candidate's Portfolio to the Dean along with the corresponding letter of evaluation and recommendation. The Dean has the option to call a meeting of the Promotion and Tenure Committee to discuss differing opinions.

C. Conflict of Interest

If a Committee member is a candidate for promotion, or has some other conflict of interest, they will not be present during that portion of the deliberations. All direct reports will also recuse themselves from any discussion or decision regarding their supervisor's promotion or tenure applications or reviews.

D. Voting process

The Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee will call a meeting of the Committee to vote on each eligible application submitted for promotion, tenure, and/or concurrent promotion and tenure. Committee members are required to review all application materials prior to the vote. The Chair will prepare both a preliminary and a final ballot for each candidate, which will be distributed to each member of the Committee at this meeting (sample ballots forms are located in Appendix C). The Chair conducts the preliminary vote at the start of the meeting, and shares the tally anonymously with the Committee. The Committee then discusses the candidate's application. After discussion, the Chair distributes the final ballot, and shares the final tally anonymously with the Committee at the end of the meeting. The Chair is responsible for maintaining the confidentiality of each individual vote and only sharing the final vote tally with the Committee.

E. Quorum

The quorum required to discuss and vote on all Promotion and Tenure reviews is two-thirds of the eligible faculty not on an approved leave of absence. Faculty members who recuse themselves because of a conflict of interest are not counted when determining quorum.

949 IX. Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure

A. The candidate for promotion or tenure is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of all the necessary materials submitted in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure. After the Committee and the Dean have completed their evaluations of the candidate, the Dean will forward the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, along with their recommendation and the procedural document, to the Provost. After the full review process has been completed, the Application folder and the Supporting Materials will be returned to the candidate by the Office of the Dean once the Dean has been notified of the President's final decision regarding the candidate's tenure and/or promotion application.

Review materials are cumulative. The candidate must maintain all necessary materials for the Portfolio. These materials include annual administrative reviews, peer review evaluations, review letters from the Promotion and Tenure Committee, review letters from the Dean of the University Libraries, and Annual Reports on Research, Professional Activities, and Service. The candidate may request support from their Division Director (or other primary evaluator) in compiling some of the necessary material, but it is the candidate's responsibility to present a complete Portfolio. The candidate will submit the complete Portfolio (Application and Supporting Materials) to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee by the appropriate date. The faculty member should keep one complete copy of the materials that they included in the Portfolio.

- B. The Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee is responsible for making the Application and Supporting Materials, available only to members of the Promotion and Tenure Committee and the Division Director (or other primary evaluator) until the complete Portfolio is submitted to the Dean. Prior to submitting the Portfolio to the Dean, the Chair will also insert the Committee's and the Division Director's (or other primary evaluator's) letters of recommendation into the appropriate section of the Application folder.
- C. Faculty candidates do not have access to their Portfolios for Promotion and Tenure once they have been submitted to the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee. While the Portfolio must be complete and accurate at the time it is submitted, the candidate may update the Portfolio (e.g., notice of acceptance or publication of a manuscript) by submitting the information to the Chair. The Chair will notify the Promotion and Tenure Committee and Dean of the updated material and insert the information into the Portfolio in the appropriate section or send it to the Dean to insert into the appropriate section of the Portfolio. It is expected that appropriate consultation will take place if materials are added that will affect the recommendation.

- D. If the faculty member under review chooses to submit a written response to the final letters of the Committee or of the Dean, the faculty member will provide the response(s) to the Chair who is responsible for inserting these materials into the appropriate section of the Portfolio or forwarding the responses to the Dean to insert into the Portfolio.
- E. All candidates for promotion and/or tenure should submit a Portfolio, consisting of an Application folder and a Supporting Materials folder, detailed below in section F. The review materials for promotion and tenure will be cumulative.
- F. Materials required for the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure Materials should be placed within the appropriate subfolders, indicated below. Materials should be placed in reverse chronological order, with the most recent year on top. In cases where multiple items are in a subfolder, like items should be grouped together and arranged in reverse chronological order, with the most recent year on top. The following materials must be included in the faculty member's Portfolio for Tenure and Promotion:

1. Application [Folder 1]

- a. Letter of application, not to exceed five pages, which should note the candidate's contributions to the Libraries, to the University, and to the profession. The letter should be addressed to the Promotion and Tenure Committee. It should clearly indicate the candidate's accomplishments, current activities, and plans for the future in each of the three areas of performance, namely librarianship, scholarship, and service. If appropriate, the letter should also indicate how the candidate has addressed any developmental concerns indicated by the Promotion and Tenure Committee from previous reviews, and evidence of such improvement should be included in the Supporting Materials. [Subfolder 1 labeled "Letter of Application/CV"]
- b. *Curriculum Vitae*, comprehensive and current as of September 15 of the year of application. [Subfolder 1]
- c. Annual administrative review letters and Annual Reports on Research, Professional Activities and Service, signed by the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator), which must be submitted by candidates for promotion and/or tenure. Written responses to annual reviews by the candidate should also be included. Candidates for promotion to Associate Professor and/or tenure must submit these reports for all years at the University of Dayton. Candidates for promotion to Professor should

1033 provide the Reports and corresponding review letters for at least the 1034 previous five years. [Subfolder 2 labeled "Annual Reports/Evaluations"] 1035 1036 d. Copies of the candidate's Peer Review evaluations, which normally take place in the 2nd and 4th year. This should include copies of the candidate's self-1037 evaluations, the Peer Review Committee's final reports, and the candidate's 1038 1039 written response to the Committee's reports, if there are any. [Subfolder 3] labeled "Peer Review Evaluations"] 1040 1041 e. The Promotion and Tenure Committee's letter. This letter should state 1042 1043 clearly the reasons for the recommendation. It should relate the faculty member's record to the University Libraries' standards for promotion and 1044 1045 tenure. The letter should be signed by all members of the Committee. For 1046 candidates for promotion to Associate Professor and tenure, all required reviews and evaluations of the faculty member by the Committees since the 1047 candidates' initial hiring must be submitted. [Subfolder 4 labeled "P&T 1048 1049 **Committee Letters/Responses"**] 1050 1051 f. As appropriate, the faculty member's response to the recommendation letter 1052 of the Promotion and Tenure Committee (optional). [Subfolder 3] 1053 1054 For final tenure and/or promotion applications, the Division Director's (or other appropriate primary evaluator's) recommendation letter. This letter 1055 should state clearly the reason for the recommendation. It should state how 1056 1057 the faculty member's performance relates to the expectations for the faculty 1058 member that are found in the letter of hire and any changes in expectations that are documented in subsequent annual reviews. It should also relate the 1059 faculty member's record to the department's standards for tenure and 1060 promotion. If the candidate up for review is a Division Director, they will 1061 forego a letter of evaluation from their primary evaluator if that person is the 1062 Dean. For normal third- and fifth-year comprehensive pre-tenure reviews (or 1063 equivalent reviews for faculty hired with credit for prior service), this 1064 subfolder will be left empty. [Subfolder 5 labeled "Primary Evaluator 1065 1066 Recommendation"] 1067 1068 h. The Dean's letters or comments on the comprehensive pre-tenure reviews 1069 (or equivalent reviews for faculty hired with credit for prior service) for candidates for promotion to Associate Professor and tenure. [Subfolder 6 1070 labeled "Dean's Letters"1 1071 1072 1073 Formal letters, written by colleagues, which attest to the quality of 1074 librarianship, scholarship, and/or service accomplishments of the candidate,

1079 1080

1096 1097 1098

10941095

110011011102

1099

11031104

110511061107

1108 1109

1110 1111

11112

11131114

1115

1116

including the candidate's overall suitability for promotion and/or tenure. For normal third- and fifth-year comprehensive pre-tenure reviews (or equivalent reviews for faculty hired with credit for prior service), this subfolder will be left empty. [Subfolder 7 labeled "Letters of Support"]

i. A Procedural Form, which was provided to the candidate at the time of hire, which itemizes each step in the promotion and tenure process. In accordance with University policy, as each step is completed, the form is to be signed by the responsible person (e.g., candidate under review, Division Director or other primary evaluator, Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, or Dean), acknowledging that steps were completed in accordance with University Libraries policies and indicating the date on which steps were completed. Each candidate will be provided an opportunity to sign, acknowledging receipt of written documentation and the date it was received. A candidate's signature will not indicate agreement with the feedback or recommendations at any given point. Once all necessary signatures have been obtained, the Dean will ensure the form has been placed in the Application folder for submission to the Provost. The signed procedural forms for the third- and fifth-year pre-tenure reviews should be retained in the folder for inclusion in the final tenure (or tenure and promotion) application. The candidate will retain a copy of the completed form. [Main Portfolio section]

2. Supporting Materials [Folder 2]

The candidate is responsible for providing a representative selection of material in support of the application for promotion or tenure or both. Only materials that provide clearly relevant evidence of quality performance in librarianship, scholarship, and service should be included.

a. Librarianship—this section should include relevant documents and artifacts that illustrate the candidate's accomplishments in the area of librarianship, as defined in IV.C.1. [Subfolder 1 labeled "Librarianship"]

Examples of evidence include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Select letters or emails from instructors regarding instruction sessions, or teaching in general.
- Copies of selected bibliographic or name authority records created by the candidate
- Selected collection development policies created by the candidate
- Copies of reports for institutionally-funded projects
- (university awarded grants)
- Selected collection assessment reports

1117	 URLs and/or selected screen shots of web/digital resources created
1118	by the candidate, including Resource Guides and online tutorials
1119	 Marketing materials (such as flyers, handouts or brochures) for
1120	specific services, events, exhibits, etc. developed by the candidate
1121	 Sample evaluations for instruction sessions
1122	 Acknowledgment letters from colleagues regarding services
1123	performed as part of regular library duties (such as thank-you letters
1124	or emails)
1125	 Examples of the candidate's active pursuit of professional
1126	development, such as certificates earned after completion of a
1127	workshop or seminar related to the candidate's primary duties
1128	
1129	b. Scholarship—this section should include evidence of the candidate's
1130	scholarly activities, as defined in IV.C.2. [Subfolder 2 labeled
1131	"Scholarship"]
1132	
1133	Examples of scholarship include, but are not limited to, the following:
1134	 Copies of publications and other scholarly productions, such as
1135	journal articles, book chapters, etc.
1136	 Citation studies of the candidate's publications
1137	 Abstracts for peer-reviewed posters or presentations
1138	 Grant reports for externally funded projects
1139	 Selected screen shots and/or DVDs, CDs, or URLs of significant
1140	computer software, databases or web sites that facilitate and
1141	contribute to scholarship
1142	
1143	c. Service—this section should include evidence of the candidate's service to
1144	the University Libraries, the University, the profession, and the community,
1145	as defined in IV.C.3. [Subfolder 3 labeled "Service"]
1146	
1147	Examples of service include, but are not limited to, the following:
1148	 Acknowledgment letters and/or emails from committee chairs, heads
1149	of community organizations, etc. thanking the candidate for services
1150	provided
1151	 Committee rosters listing the candidate and their role on those
1152	committees
1153	 Program or other marketing material related to events or
1154	presentations for which the candidate served as a moderator,
1155	organizer, or peer reviewer at professional meetings, conferences,
1156	symposia, etc.

1157 X 1158		Responsibilities of All Participants in the Promotion and Tenure Review Process All participants in the review process are expected to:
1159 1160 1161	1	A. Have completed required University-sponsored diversity training for Promotion and Tenure reviews prior to serving on the Promotion and Tenure Committee.
1162 1163 1164 1165]	B. Be fully knowledgeable of the library and University policies regarding promotion and tenure.
1166 1167 1168	(C. Be fully conversant with the candidate's materials. All persons involved in the review process must have read the materials submitted by the candidate in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, including supporting materials.
1169 1170 1171 1172 1173]	D. Attend the appropriate meetings at which the candidate's qualifications are considered. Absence from minor portions of meetings does not disqualify a committee member from voting, unless the absence includes the actual vote. Proxy voting is not allowed.
1174 1175 1176]	E. Maintain absolute confidentiality with respect to all materials, discussions, recommendations, and votes.

11//	
1178	APPENDIX A: University Libraries
1179	Promotion and Tenure Procedural Forms
1180	
1181	Faculty Member's Name:
1182	Date of Appointment:
1183	Years of credit toward tenure (if any):
1184	This form itemizes the promotion and tenure steps that are to be followed in the University
1185	Libraries.
1186	As steps are completed, each of the responsible persons (e.g., candidate under review, Division
1187	Directors, Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, and Dean) in the unit will provide their
1188	signature, acknowledging that steps were completed in accordance with the University Promotion
1189	and Tenure Policy (I-06-10) and the University Libraries Promotion and Tenure Policy documents
1190	indicating the date on which steps were completed.
1191	Each candidate will be provided an opportunity to sign, acknowledging receipt of written
1192	documentation and the date it was received. A candidate's signature will not indicate agreement
1193	with the feedback or recommendations at any given point.
1194	Timeline for both promotion and tenure begins July 1. For persons hired after October 31, the
1195	timeline will begin July 1 of the following year.
1196	All procedural forms are to be retained and included in promotion and tenure application
1197	materials.
1198	Timeline for reviews:
1199	

1200	Pre-tenure Review
1201	Third Year (or First Comprehensive Pre-tenure) Review Scheduled:(year)
1202	Version of Promotion & Tenure Policy under which candidate is being evaluated (UPT
1203	approved revision date)

Action	When	Signatures & Dates
Dean of the University Libraries notifies eligible tenure-leading faculty in writing that documentation for their 3rd year review is due no later than September 1.	By March 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
All documentation required for 3rd year review submitted to the chair of the P&T Committee.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

P&T Committee reviews materials for the 3rd year review. A letter addressed to the candidate with the Committee's feedback is given to the candidate, and a copy is forwarded to the candidate's Division	By November 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee
Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator). A copy is also forwarded to the Dean along with the review materials.		Name Dean, University Libraries
		Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator
		Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

The Dean submits their comments and feedback to the candidate in the form	By December 1	Date Completed
of a letter. A copy of this letter is forwarded to the		Signature
candidate's Division		Printed Name
Director (or other		Dean, University Libraries
appropriate primary		
evaluator).		Cignotrum
		Signature
		Printed Name
		Candidate's Primary Evaluator
		Signature
		Printed Name
		Candidate for Tenure

1209	Pre-tenure Review
1210	Fifth Year (or Second Comprehensive Pre-tenure) Review Scheduled: (year)
1211	Version of Promotion & Tenure Policy under which candidate is being evaluated (UPT
1212	approved revision date)

Action	When	Signatures & Dates
Dean of the University Libraries notifies eligible tenure-leading faculty in writing that documentation for their 5th year review is due no later than September 1.	By March 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
All documentation required for 5th year review submitted to the chair of the P&T Committee.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

P&T Committee reviews materials for the 5th year review. A letter addressed to the candidate with the Committee's feedback is given to the candidate, and a copy is forwarded to the candidate's Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator). A copy is also forwarded to the Dean along with the review materials.	By November 1	Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
The Dean submits their comments and feedback to the candidate in the form of a letter. A copy of this letter is forwarded to the candidate's Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator).	By December 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

1218	Final Tenure Only or Final Tenure Review with Concurrent Promotion to Associate
219	Professor
220	Scheduled: (year)
221	Version of Promotion & Tenure Policy under which candidate is being evaluated (UPT
222	approved revision date)

Action	When	Signatures & Dates
Dean of the University Libraries notifies eligible tenure-leading faculty in writing that documentation for their tenure review is due no later than September 1. The Dean also notifies the appropriate Division Directors (or other primary evaluators) that their letters of evaluation and recommendation are due on September 1.	By March 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
Faculty member eligible for promotion and tenure submits the required documentation to the Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committee Chair.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

The candidate's Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator) submits a letter to the P&T Committee Chair about the candidate and forwards a copy to the candidate. Candidates who are Division Directors and report directly to the Dean are exempt from this step.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
P&T Committee makes recommendation to the Dean on the promotion and tenure application, and forwards the recommendation letter along with the candidate's Portfolio to the Dean. The Chair of the Committee forwards a copy of the recommendation to the candidate.	By November 15	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure

The candidate may respond to the Committee's recommendation in the form of a letter, which is submitted directly to the Dean. If the candidate chooses not to write a response, they so notify the Dean in writing.	By November 21	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure
If the Dean disagrees or has any questions about the recommendation, the Dean will discuss with the Promotion and Tenure Committee.	By December 1 (Optional)	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee
Dean will inform candidate, in writing, of their recommendation and the reasons for it. After candidate has been notified, a copy of the recommendation is forwarded to the P&T Committee Chair, who shares the recommendation with the P&T Committee. A copy of the recommendation is also forwarded to the candidate's Division	No later than the first business day after December 14	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries

Director (or other primary evaluator).		Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator
Candidates or concerned individuals may submit a written response to the Dean	No later than the first business day after December 21 (optional)	Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Tenure Signature Printed Name Concerned Individual (optional) Signature Printed Name Concerned Individual (optional)

The Dean will consider any additional evidence and responses and send a	No later than the first business day	Date Completed
recommendation in	after January	Signature
writing to the Provost,	1	
along with the completed		Printed Name
Procedural Form,		Dean, University Libraries
cumulative Portfolio, and		
the response(s) of any		
candidate or concerned		Signature
individuals. After		
ensuring the candidate		Printed Name
has received notification,		Candidate for Tenure
the Dean will inform the		
Promotion and Tenure		
Committee of the		Signature
recommendation as well		D. Carad Name
as the candidate's		Printed Name
Division Director (or		Chair, Library P&T Committee
other primary evaluator).		
		Signaturo
		Signature
		Printed Name
		Candidate's Primary Evaluator
		Canadate 311 mary Lvandator

228	Promotion to Professor: (year)
229	Version of Promotion & Tenure Policy under which candidate is being evaluated (UPT
230	approved revision date)

Action	When	Signatures & Dates
Faculty member applying for promotion submits the required documentation to the Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committee Chair.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Promotion
The candidate's Division Director (or other appropriate primary evaluator) submits a letter to the P&T Committee Chair about the candidate. The candidate is also provided with a copy of the letter. Candidates who are Division Directors and report directly to the Dean are exempt from this step.	By September 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Promotion

P&T Committee sends candidate's application materials along with its own recommendation to the Dean of University Libraries. The candidate is also provided with a copy of the letter.	By November 15	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name Candidate for Promotion
If the Dean disagrees or has any questions about the recommendation, the Dean will discuss with the Promotion and Tenure Committee.	By December 1 (Optional)	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee
Dean will inform candidate, in writing, of their recommendation and the reasons for it. After candidate has been notified, a copy of the recommendation is forwarded to the P&T Committee Chair, who shares the recommendation with the P&T Committee. A copy of the recommendation is also	No later than the first business day after December 14	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Candidate for Promotion

forwarded to the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator).		Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature Printed Name Chair, Library P&T Committee Signature Printed Name
Candidates or concerned individuals may submit a written response to the Dean	No later than the first business day after December 21 (optional)	Candidate's Primary Evaluator Date Completed

		Printed NameConcerned Individual (optional)
The Dean will consider any additional evidence and responses and send a recommendation in writing to the Provost, along with the completed Procedural Form, cumulative Portfolio, and the response(s) of any candidate or concerned individuals. After ensuring the candidate has	No later than the first business day after January 1	Date Completed Signature Printed Name Dean, University Libraries Signature
received notification, the Dean will inform the Promotion and Tenure Committee of the recommendation as well as the candidate's Division Director (or other primary evaluator).		Printed NameCandidate for Promotion Signature
		Printed NameChair, Library P&T Committee
		Printed Name Candidate's Primary Evaluator

APPENDIX B: Sample Ballot Forms for Promotion and 1236 **Tenure Committee Decision-making** 1237 (useful for preliminary opinion-gathering and for final vote) 1238 1239 Promotion and Tenure Committee - Date 1240 Applicant's name 1241 ____year Review 1242 **Re: Recommendation about their Proceeding toward Promotion and Tenure** — Yes___ No___ 1243 Rating of their Librarianship: Significant__ Satisfactory __ Unsatisfactory__ 1244 Rating of their Scholarly Activities: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1245 Significant__ Satisfactory __ Unsatisfactory__ Rating of their Service: 1246 1247 Promotion and Tenure Committee - Date 1248 Applicant's name 1249 Re: Recommendation for Promotion to Associate Professor and Tenure — Yes__ No__ 1250 Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1251 Rating of their Librarianship: Rating of their Scholarly Activities: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1252 Rating of their Service: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1253 1254 1255 Promotion and Tenure Committee - Date 1256 Applicant's name 1257 Promotion in Rank [separate from application for Tenure] — Yes___ No___ 1258 Re: Recommendation for Promotion to Associate Professor 1259 1260 Rating of their Librarianship: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1261 Rating of their Scholarly Activities: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1262 Rating of their Service: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1263 1264 Promotion and Tenure Committee - Date 1265 1266 Applicant's name Promotion in Rank [for tenured faculty] — Yes No 1267 Re: Recommendation for Promotion to Full Professor 1268 1269 Rating of their Librarianship: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ Rating of their Scholarly Activities: Significant___ Satisfactory ___ Unsatisfactory___ 1270 Significant__ Satisfactory __ Unsatisfactory__ 1271 Rating of their Service:

1272	APPENDIX C: University Libraries Promotion and
1273	Tenure Policy Revision History
1274	
1275	Approved by University Libraries Faculty:
1276	July 11, 2011
1277	Approved by the University Promotion & Tenure Committee:
1278	December 7, 2011
1279	Revised January 31, 2012
1280	Approved by University Libraries Faculty:
1281	February 2, 2012
1282	Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
1283	March 15, 2012
1284	Approved by University Libraries Faculty:
1285	December 6, 2012
1286	Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
1287	January 28, 2013
1288	Revised May 6, 2013
1289	Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
1290	June 6, 2013
1291	Revised Summer 2014
1292	Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
1293	September 4, 2014
1294	Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
1295	February 6, 2015
1296	Revised February 26, 2015
1297	Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
1298	26 February 2015
1299	Revised April 2, 2015
1300	Revision summary: Substantive changes made to Librarianship to reflect Peer Review evaluation
1301	of collaborative and cooperative work; changes made to criteria for promotion to Professor
1302	Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
1303	April 2, 2015
1304	Revised and approved by the University Libraries Faculty January, 12, 2016
1305	Revised and approved by the University Libraries Faculty February, 4, 2016
1306	Revision summary: New procedure for hiring faculty with tenure, restated qualifications for new
1307	faculty hires, and clarified eligibility for P&T committee membership Approved by the University
1308	Promotion and Tenure Committee:
1309	April 1, 2016
1310	Revision summary: Substantive changes include clarification of the peer review process, and
1311	document retention Appendix E
1312	Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
1313	April 6, 2017 Revision automates Matching agetion LE with agetion LC and slowifying proceedings form
1314	Revision summary: Matching section I E with section I C and clarifying procedural form
1315	instructions Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:

- 1316 June 1, 2017
- Revision summary: Numbering of tabs changed in Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, Binder 1
- 1318 Approved by the University Libraries Faculty: September 7, 2017
- Revision summary: Substantive changes include clarification of the choice available to tenure-
- track faculty of the Promotion and Tenure policy under which they will be evaluated, when there
- are substantial revisions; and of the peer review process Approved by the University Libraries
- 1322 Faculty:
- 1323 April 5, 2018
- Revision summary: Further clarification of the choice available to tenure-track faculty of the
- 1325 Promotion and Tenure policy under which they will be evaluated, when there are substantial
- 1326 revisions
- 1327 Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
- 1328 May 3, 2018
- 1329 Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
- 1330 May 7, 2018
- 1331 Revision summary: Substantive changes include parallel and consistent steps on the procedure
- forms for individuals applying for tenure only, concurrent tenure and promotion, and promotion
- only; and adjusting language to reflect the online nature of portfolios.
- 1334 Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
- 1335 March 25, 2021
- 1336 Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
- 1337 April 16, 2021
- 1338 Approved by the University Libraries Faculty:
- 1339 March 15, 2023
- 1340 Approved by the University Promotion and Tenure Committee:
- May 8, 2023 [with faculty-approved minor revisions added on May 17, 2023 with UPTC approval]
- Revision summary: Substantive changes to criteria for evaluation to incorporate inclusive
- excellence expectations; clarifying processes for hiring with shortened tenure clocks; clarifying
- processes for hiring with tenure; changes to scholarship definitions and criteria; defined quorum;
- removed redundant timelines; shortened information regarding peer review and put full peer
- 1346 review processes in a separate document

1347	APPENDIX D: Document Retention in Promotion and Tenure Process
1348	Section IX Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure part A states:
1349 1350 1351	The candidate for promotion or tenure is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of all the necessary materials submitted in the Portfolio for Promotion and Tenure, which should be collected and submitted in an electronic portfolio.
1352	Review materials are cumulative. The candidate must maintain all necessary materials for the
1353	Portfolio. These materials include annual administrative reviews, peer review evaluations, review
1354	letters from the Promotion and Tenure Committee, review letters from the Dean of the University
1355	Libraries, and Annual Reports on Research, Professional Activities, and Service. The candidate may
1356	request support from their Division Director (or other primary evaluator) in compiling some of
1357	the necessary material, but it is the candidate's responsibility to present a complete Portfolio. The
1358	candidate will submit the complete Portfolio (Application and Supporting Materials) to the Chair
1359	of the Promotion and Tenure Committee by the appropriate date. The faculty member should keep
1360	one complete copy of the materials that they included in the Portfolio.
1361	Copies of the comprehensive and peer review letters should not be kept by the P&T or Peer
1362	Review committees. Secure collaborative applications with access limited to the committee
1363	during the writing of the letters should be used. For peer review, notes and written materials
1364	should not be kept. The evaluation of the peer review committee and other materials supplied by
1365	the candidate, such as curriculum vitae become part of the official documentation. Draft copies of
1366	the letters should be deleted or shredded after the date for a response is passed.